

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NA	MED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/728,534	11/28/2000	Merri	Goldenberg	A-576C	5310
759	06/05/2002	; !			
U.S. Patent Operations/ CAC				EXAMINER	
Dept. 4300, M/S 27-4-A AMGEN INC. One Amgen Center Drive Thousand Oaks, CA 91320-1799		e e este concenção e		CHERNYSHE	V, OLGA N
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Thousand Oaks,	CA 91320-1799	1		1646 DATE MAILED: 06/05/2002	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/728,534	GOLDENBERG ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Olga N. Chernyshev	1646		
renou io	• •				
- Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Is not firm may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute pely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be solve within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ARAI for	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication.		
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a)□		—- · nis action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow		proposition on to the many that		
,	closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.		
4) 🔯	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application	n.			
	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> are subject to restriction and/or o	election requirement			
Application	on Papers				
9)[] T	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10) 🔲 T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	pted or b) objected to by the Ex	caminer.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11) 🔲 T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) approved b) disapp			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep				
12) 🔲 T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
riority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) 🗌 🛮 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).		
] All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	·			
1	. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Burse the attached detailed Office action for a list of	ity documents have been receiv	ved in this National Stage		
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic				
a) [☐ The translation of the foreign language proving the translation of the foreign language provinces to the translation of the	visional application has been re	ceived.		
ttachment(s	e)				
Notice o	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informat	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Patent and Trade O-326 (Rev.	04.04)	ion Summary	Part of Daner No. 5		

Application/Control Number: 09/728,534

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: different biologically active agents (claim 7).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/728,534

Art Unit: 1646

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga N. Chernyshev whose telephone number is (703) 305-1003. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9 AM to 5 PM ET.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax center located in Crystal Mall 1 (CM1). The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)0. NOTE: If Applicant *does* submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by Applicant or Applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4556 or (703) 308-4242. If either of these numbers is out of service, please call the Group receptionist for an alternative

Application/Control Number: 09/728,534

Art Unit: 1646

Page 4

number. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294. Official papers should NOT be faxed to (703) 308-0294.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on (703) 308-6564. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 782-9306 for regular communications and (703) 782-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Olga N. Chernyshev, Ph.D. June 3, 2002

CHRISTINE J. SAOUD PRIMARY EXAMINER

Churtine J. Saous